

Programme of building works to be taken up in connection with the utilisation of Education Cess in the Bangalore District.

No.	Name of school	Taluk	Strength	Type proposed	Cost of building	Contribution, if any	Balance to be appropriated
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Construction of Primary School at Maralenahalli.	Bangalore	55	I	Rs. 1,500	305	Rs. 1,195
2	Do do Hosahalli	Dodballapur	55	I	1,500	360	1,140
3	Do do Dodralal	Kankanhalli	..	I	1,500	300	1,200
4	Extending the Primary School at Chickamunduvadi	Do	80	I	1,500	325	1,175
5	Constructing a Primary School at Singarajapur	Channarayana	..	..	1,150	575	575
6	Do do Bhoochalli	Do	..	I	1,150	498	1,002
7	Do do Garkahalli	Do	18	I	1,150	498	946
8	Do do Vorigenahalli	Devanhalli	113	II	3,500	554	3,500
9	Do do Sarjapur	Anekal	89	II	3,500	..	3,500
10	Do a Hindustani Boys' School at Sulibale	Hoskote	..	..	2,500	..	2,500
11	Do a Girls' School at Sarjapur.	Anekal	80	..	2,800	..	2,800
12	Do a Primary School at Dommasandara	Do	105	II	2,800	..	2,800
13	Do do Chikkabelavangala	Dodballapur	40	I	1,500	..	1,500
14	Do a Hindustani Boys' School at Karimsonnenahalli.	Do	26	I	1,500	..	1,500
15	Do Begur	Nelamangala	..	II	3,500	..	3,500
16	Do a Girls' School at Manne	Do	30	I	1,500	..	1,500
17	Extending the Primary School at Manne	Do	79	..	1,500	..	1,500
18	Constructing a Panchama School at Manne	Do	29	I	1,500	..	1,500
19	Do a Girls' School at Nelamangala	Do	57	Special type	2,500	..	2,500
20	Extending and improving the Hindustani School, Nelamangala.	Do	83	Extension	1,500	..	1,500
21	Constructing a Primary School at Thyamagondlu	Do	105	II	2,500	..	2,500
22	Do do Bandiganahalli	Kankanhalli	..	I	590	345	345
23	Do do Monsigenahalli	Channarayana	..	I	996	498	498
24	Do do Bandurvalagaralli.	Do	..	I	996	498	498
25	Extending and constructing a compound wall for the Girls' School at Anekal	Anekal	..	..	..	..	2,500
26	Constructing a school building at Krishnarajapur	Bangalore	33	I	1,500	..	1,500
27	Do do Hasigala	Hoskote	32	I	1,500	..	1,500
28	Extending the school at Kannamangala	Do	63	..	2,000	..	2,000
29	Do the Incomplete Urdu School at Chickamunduvadi	Kankanhalli	96	..	2,000	..	2,000

No.	Description	Category	Area (Acres)	Value (Rs.)	Remarks
30	Constructing a school at Kodihalli	...	...	2,800	
31	Do a Girls' School at Chickamunduvadi	...	...	2,500	
32	Do a Primary School at Narasandra	...	...	2,800	
33	Do do	...	...	1,500	
34	Do do	...	...	1,500	
35	Do Girls' School at Kudur	...	...	2,500	
36	Do Panchama School at Tavarekere	...	...	1,500	
37	Do Primary School at Harisandra	...	...	2,800	
38	Do do	...	...	2,800	
39	Do do	...	...	1,500	
40	Do do	...	...	2,800	
41	Do do	...	...	2,800	
42	Do do	...	...	1,500	
43	Do do	...	...	1,500	
44	Do do	...	...	750	
45	Do a Panchama School at Herohalli	...	...	1,500	
46	Extending the Boys' School at Isthur	...	...	2,000	
47	Constructing a School at Chikkebejjai	...	...	1,500	
48	Do a Panchama School at Kasaghatta	...	...	1,500	
49	Do a Primary School at Kuntanahalli	...	...	1,500	
50	Do a Middle School at Devanahalli	Middle School type.	107	5,000	
51	Do a Primary School at Budugere	...	...	2,800	
52	Do Bagalur	...	...	2,800	
53	Do Urdu Boys' School at Sondokoppa	...	...	1,500	
54	Do Kannada and Urdu Boys' School at Sondokoppa.	...	...	2,800	
55	Extending the Middle School at Sondokoppa.	...	...	1,500	
56	Constructing a Girls' School at Doddahelajai	...	...	2,500	
57	Grant for constructing a Lingayat Students' Hostel at Nelamangala.	...	...	2,000	
58	Constructing a Primary School at Gantiganahalli	...	...	2,000	
59	Total	...	...	1,12,624	
60	Establishment charges at 12½ per cent	...	...	14,078	
61	Grand Total	...	...	1,26,702	

Grants to Aided Middle and Primary Schools.

READ—

Government Order No. 4557—Edn. 188-19-19, dated 29th May 1922, revising the educational grant-in-aid rules.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. H. C. 475—24-25, dated 29th April 1925, from the Inspector-General of Education, submitting proposals for the revision of grants of Aided Middle and Primary Schools together with a statement showing the names of such schools, the grants now sanctioned to each of them and the grants admissible to them according to the revised rules.

ORDER No. E. 1833-35—EDN. 37-24-21, DATED 15TH OCTOBER 1925.

The revision of the grant-in-aid rules was sanctioned by Government in their order read above, one of the main features of the revision being that no school shall be entitled to a grant-in-aid unless it has an income from endowments, subscriptions, etc., of a permanent nature equal to one-fourth of the anticipated expenditure of the school, provided that this rule shall apply to the schools already in existence, only after the expiry of three years. In submitting proposals for the revision of grants of the several aided Middle and Primary Schools, the Inspector-General of Education brings to notice some difficulties in fixing the revised grants admissible and in the application of the rule regarding minimum income to be contributed by the aided agencies. He states, that Missionary agencies have not allotted separate endowments for individual schools under their management but the expenses incurred on account of the schools are drawn from a consolidated Mission fund. In the calculation of revised grants admissible to such schools the income from endowments subscriptions etc., of a permanent nature is reported to have been taken at the minimum required under the rules, viz., one-fourth of the total expenditure admissible.

2. As regards schools which are under the management of private bodies, the Inspector-General states that most of them have not secured the minimum income from endowments, etc., required under rule II (d) of the revised grant-in-aid rules, that the time granted to them for securing such income expires on 30th June 1925, and that if the said rule is strictly enforced, most of these schools will not be eligible for any grant from 1st July 1925. In view of the importance and usefulness of these institutions, he suggests that the operation of the rule may be suspended for a further period of two years and the revised grants fixed on the assumption that the income from endowments, subscriptions, etc., of a permanent nature is one-fourth of the total expenditure admissible. Accordingly in the statement of revised grants submitted by him the ordinary grant admissible is generally calculated at three-fourths of the total admissible expenditure less the salary grant admissible. The total amount required to meet the cost of revision of grants on the lines indicated above is estimated at Rs. 20,000 per annum.

3. On a careful consideration of the matter, Government are pleased to approve generally of the proposals of the Inspector-General for the revision of grants of the Aided Primary and Middle Schools with a slight modification and to direct—

(i) that the time prescribed in rule II (d) of the revised grant-in-aid rules for the aided agencies to secure the minimum income from endowments, etc., be extended by a further period of two years from 1st July 1925;

(ii) that as the schools under Missionary agencies have individually no separate income from endowment but the Missions are taken to contribute one-fourth of the expenditure of each school from the general funds of the Mission, the revised grants for all schools under the same Mission may be taken together for purposes of fixing the revised grants;

(iii) that in the case of schools, which do not get reduced or enhanced grants under the revised rules, the present grants may be continued;

(iv) that as regards schools, which are entitled to enhanced grants, half of the difference between the present grant and the grant actually admissible under the revised rules may be allowed.

In the case of schools, which would get only reduced grants the grants they are actually receiving at present may be continued and the reduction held in abeyance for two years (just as in the case of schools which have not got the minimum income from endowment).

4. The Inspector-General of Education, is requested to report in the case of the schools referred to in the foregoing paragraph what will be the effect of the reduction and also the condition of the schools. In other cases he may revise the grant on the above lines with effect from 1st July 1925, the extra cost on this account over and above the provision of Rs. 10,090 in the current year's budget for the purpose, being met by reappropriation of sanctioned grants of the department under other heads.

5. Government also direct that in view of the fact that every school gets a Government grant equal to three-fourths of the authorised expenditure, the authorised expenditure of each school should be thoroughly scrutinised, special care being taken to see that the supervision, the staff (in respect of number qualification and salary), the library and other equipment and other charges are legitimate and satisfactory and neither above nor below a reasonable standard.

P. G. D'SOUZA.

Chief Secretary to Government.

Educational Supervision of Private Hostels.

READ—

Government Order No. 4566-8—Edn. 268-20-8, dated 1st June 1922, issuing revised rules regarding grants to Private Hostels and making it incumbent on the Hostel authorities to appoint a duly qualified Educational Supervisor, the Government meeting the full cost of supervision, not exceeding Rs. 20 per mensem.

2. Letter No. 5217—Edn., dated 30th May 1925, from the Secretary, Economic Development Board forwarding a copy of the resolutions adopted by the Board of Education at their meeting held on the 24th April 1925 regarding "Educational Supervision of Private Hostels" together with a copy of the letter from the Secretary to the Board of Education on the subject.

3. Letter No. D2 Dis. 782—25-26, dated 15th August 1925, from the Inspector-General of Education stating that he is in full agreement with the views and suggestions contained in the resolutions of the Board of Education *re* Educational Supervision of Private Hostels.

ORDER NO. E. 1897-1900—EDN. 101-22-41, DATED 21ST OCTOBER 1925.

The Board of Education whose views were invited as to the desirability or otherwise of (1) continuing the system of Educational Supervision and (2) adding the allowance now given by Government for Educational Supervision of Hostels, to the grant allowed for the maintenance of the Hostels, provided the Managing authorities make suitable arrangement for such supervision, have passed the following resolutions on the subject:—

(1) That in view of the fact that the Scheme of Educational Supervision is not functioning properly in the case of several Private Hostels, one way of improving the system would be to abolish the separate office of Educational Supervisor and assign his functions to a resident Warden who should be a teacher of any of the schools and who should be of sufficient capacity and status to command the respect of the boarders and to supervise their conduct, studies and games, the Warden being, if necessary, assisted by a Steward, responsible for the purchase of Stores and the details of household management.

(2) That in the case of Hostels which are too small or are located in small buildings with no facilities for a resident Warden the Department should take steps to see that the work of Educational Supervision is better organised and inspected.

(3) That the Committee of Management should include one teacher of the local schools which the boarders attend.

(4) That there should be also a Committee of Visitors, to be appointed by the Inspector-General of Education and this Committee should include among others a Doctor and a member of the Local Body (Municipal Council).

2. While forwarding the above resolutions with his remarks, to the Secretary, Economic Development Board, the Secretary to the Board of Education added that if the recommendations of the Board are given effect to, the interests of the boarders